



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/534,494	09/534,494 03/24/2000		Jung-Kwon Heo	1293.1100/MDS	2442	
21171	7590	06/07/2004			EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	Y LLP	CHEVALIER, ROBERT			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW		VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2615		
·			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	12		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A P 0 N-	T-A 10 - 27 N				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/534,494	HEO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN INC DATE And the control of t	Bob Chevalier	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	ay 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2000 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/534,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 10-12, 20-21, 28, and 31-32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yonemitsu et al as set forth in the previous Office Action mailed out on 2/12/04 (Paper No. 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/534,494 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

5. Claims 7-9, 13-19, 22-27, and 29-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yonemitsu et al in view of the admitted prior art Figure 1 of the present Application as set forth in the previous Office Action mailed out on 2/12/04 (Paper No. 10).

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 1-32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No. 09/534,493 as set forth in the previous Office Action mailed out on 2/12/04 (Paper No. 10).
- 8. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 5/10/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,494

Art Unit: 2615

Applicant's argues that the cited reference of Yonemitsu is improper, because, Yonemitsu et al fails to disclose the claimed feature of the CD-type disk. Particularly, Applicant indicates that the disk disclosed in Yonemitsu et al does not have a track pitch of 1.6 microns + or – 0.1 micron as a CD-type disk would have.

Contrary to the Applicant's argument, it is noted that Yonemitsu et al does disclose a CD-type disk. Applicant's attention is directed to Yonemitsu et al' column 29, lines 23-24, where it is disclosed that the Yonemitsu et al's apparatus is directed to a CD-ROM type disk; and furthermore, Applicant's attention is directed to Yonemitsu et al's column 1, lines 15-17, where it is disclosed that optical disks used in a computer environment are known as CD-ROM. Furthermore, with regard to the feature of the track pitch being of 1.6 microns + or – 0.1 micron argued by Applicant, it is noted that such a feature is noted recited in the claimed invention.

Regarding the Applicant's argument in that the cited reference of Yonemitsu et al fails to disclose the feature of both formats, that is the CD-ROM format and the DVD format being present in the CD-type recording medium, Examiner agrees. It is noted that such a feature of the CD-type disk having both the CD-ROM format and the DVD format being present at the same time as argued by Applicant is disclosed in Yonemitsu et al. Applicant's attention is directed to Yonemitsu et al's column 5, lines 43-45, where it is disclosed different types of data being recorded on the disk, such as CD-ROM and also adapted for use as a DVD. Furthermore, Applicant's attention is directed to Yonemitsu et al's column 31, lines 52-54.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,494

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding the Applicant's argument in that the cited reference of Yonemitsu et al fails to specifically disclose the claimed feature of encoding a received A/V signal into a second format to provide an A/V stream, and then formatting the A/V stream according to a predetermined file system, Examiner disagrees. It is noted that such a feature argued above by Applicant is present in Yonemitsu et al. (See the capability of encoding/compressing the received video and audio signals to generate the A/V stream and the capability of recording the same in DVD format as disclosed in Yonemitsu et al's Figure 32, components 102, 105, 107 and 121, and Figure 1).

In view of the Double Patenting rejection indicated above, Applicant indicates that a Terminal Disclaimer was provided in order to withdraw said rejection. However, the Terminal Disclaimer is not part of the record, Applicant's cooperation is resubmitting the Terminal Disclaimer is appreciated.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11. examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 703-305-4780. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on 703-308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. Chevalier June 4, 2004. PRIMARY EXAMINER